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Abstract
The paper deals with the generation of ReadME files from an ontology-based description of NLP tool. ReadME files are structured and organised according to properties defined in the ontology. One of the problem is being able to deal with multilingual generation of texts. To do so, we propose to map the ontology elements to multilingual knowledge defined in a SKOS ontology.

1 Introduction
A ReadMe file is a simple and short written document that is commonly distributed along with a computer software, forming part of its documentation. It is generally written by the developer and is supposed to contain basic and crucial information that the user reads before installing and running the software.

Existing NLP software may range from unstable prototypes to industrial applications. Many of them are developed by researchers, in the framework of temporary projects (training, PhD theses, funded projects). As their use is often restricted to their developers, they do not always meet Information technology (IT) requirements in terms of documentation and re-usability. This is especially the case for under-resourced languages, which are often developed by researchers and released without standard documentation, or written fully or partly in the developer's native language.

Providing a clear ReadMe file is essential for effective software distribution and use: a confusing one could prevent the user from using the software. However, there is no well established guidelines or good practices for writing a ReadMe.

In this paper we propose an ontology-based approach for the generation of ordered and structured ReadMe files for NLP tools. The ontology defines a meta-data model built based on a joint study of NLP tool documentation practices and existing meta-data model for language resources (cf. section 2). Translation functions (TFs) for different languages (currently eight) are associated to ontology properties characterising NLP tools. These TFs are defined within the Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) (cf. section 2.2). The ontology is filled via an on-line platform by NLP experts speaking different languages. Each expert describes the NLP tools processing the languages he speaks (cf. section 3). A ReadMe file is then generated in different languages for each tool described within the ontology (cf. section 3). Figure 1 depicts the whole process of multilingual ReadMe generation.

2 NLP tools ontology
This work takes place in the framework of the project MultiTal which aims at making NLP tool descriptions available through an online platform, containing factual information and verbose descriptions that should ease installation and use of considered NLP tools. This project involves numerous NLP experts.
in diverse languages, currently Arabic, English, French, Hindi, Japanese, Mandarin Chinese, Russian, Ukrainian and Tibetan. Our objective is to take advantage of the NLP experts knowledge both to retrieve NLP tools in their languages and to generate multilingual ReadMe files for the retrieved NLP tools. A first step to reach this goal is to propose a conceptual model whose elements are as much independent as possible from the language. Then, associate to each conceptual element, a lexicalisation for each targeted language.

2.1 Ontology conceptualisation

In order to conceptualise an ontology that structures and standardises the description of NLP tools we proceeded to a joint study of:

- Documentation for various NLP tools processing aforementioned languages that have been installed and closely tested;
- A large collection (around ten thousands) of structured ReadMe in the Markdown format, crawled from GitHub repositories;
- Meta-data models for Language Resources (LR) as the CMDI (Broeder et al., 2012) or META-SHARE meta-data model ontology (McCrae et al., 2015).

This study gave us guidelines to define bundles of properties sharing a similar semantic. For example, properties referring to the affiliation of the tool (as hasAuthor, hasLaboratory or hasProjet), to its installation or its usage.

We distinguish two levels of meta-data: 1) a mandatory level providing basic elements that constitute a ReadMe file and 2) a non-mandatory level that contains additional information as relations to other tools, fields or methods. These latter serve tools’ indexation within the on-line platform.

Figure 2 details the major bundles of properties that we conceptualized to describe an NLP tool. The processed languages are defined within the bundle Task. Indeed, an NLP tool may have different tasks which may apply to different languages.

As our ambition is to propose pragmatic descriptions detailing the possible installation and execution procedures, we particularly focused on the decomposition of these procedures into atomic actions.

2.2 Multilingual translation functions

Within the ontology, NLP tools are characterised by their properties. Values allocated to these properties are as much as possible independent of the language (date of creation and last update, developer or license names, operating system information, ...). Hence, what needs to be lexicalised is the semantic of each defined property. Each NLP expert associate to each property a translation functions (TFs) that formalise the lexical formulation of the property in the language he speaks. TFs are defined once for each language. The amount of work have not exceeded half a day per language to associate TFs to the around eighty properties of the ontology. In order to ensure a clean separation between the conceptual and the lexical layer, TFs are defined within a SKOS ontology. The SKOS ontology structure is automatically created from the OWL ontology. Thus, adding a new language essentially consists in adding within SKOS TFs in that particular language to each OWL property. Translation functions are of two kinds:

1. \( P(V_1) \leadsto V_1 \@lang \)

2. \( P(V_1, V_2) \leadsto V_1 \* V_2 \* \@lang \) or \( \* V_2 \* V_1 \* \@lang \)

with \( P \) a property, \( * \) a set of words that can be empty, \( V_1, V_2 \) values of the property \( P \) and \( \@lang \) an OWL language tag that determines the language in which the property is lexicalised. Below, two examples of translation functions for Japanese that have been associated to the properties authorFirstName and download.

- \( \text{authorFirstName}(V_1) \leadsto \) 作者名：\( V_1 \@jp \)
- \( \text{download}(V_1, V_2) \leadsto V_2 \) から \( V_1 \) をダウンロードする。\( \@jp \)
3 Natural language generation of multilingual ReadMe files

In our framework, each NLP expert finds, installs and uses available NLP tools processing the language he speaks. Then, he describes every tool that runs correctly via an on-line platform connected to the ontology (cf. Figure 1). Elements of description do not only come from an existing ReadMe as if they exist, they are rarely exhaustive. Hence, experts also gather tool information from the web and during installing and testing each tool.

At this step, the OWL ontology is filled and the translated functions of each property are defined within the SKOS ontology. Our aim is to generate ordered and structured ReadMe files in different languages. To do so, we use Natural language generation (NLG) techniques adapted to the Semantic Web (also named Ontology verbalisation) (Staykova, 2014; Bouayad-Agha et al., 2014; Cojocaru and Trâşan Matu, 2015; Keet and Khumalo, 2016). NLG can be divided in several tasks (Reiter and Dale, 2000; Staykova, 2014). Our approach currently includes: content selection, document structuring, knowledge aggregation, and lexicalisation. The use of more advanced tasks as referring expression aggregation, linguistic realisation and structure realisation is in our perspectives.

3.1 Ontology content selection and structuring

Unlike the majority of ontology verbalisation approaches, we do not intend to verbalise the whole content of the ontology. We simply verbalize properties and their values that characterise a pertinent information that have to appear in a ReadMe file. The concerned properties are those which belong to the mandatory level (cf. section 2.1).

The structure of ReadMe files is formalized within the ontology. First, ReadMe files are organised in sections based on bundles of properties defined in the ontology (cf. Figure 2). Within each section, the order of property is predefined. Both installation and execution procedures are decomposed to their atomic actions. These actions are automatically numbered according to their order of execution (cf. Figure 3). Different installation and execution procedures may exist according the operating system (Linux, Windows, ...), architecture (32bits, 64bits, 86bits, ...), language platform (JAVA 8, Python 3, ...) and so on. As well, execution procedures depend on tasks the NLP tool performs and the languages it processes. Thus, each procedure is distinguished and its information grouped under its heading. Moreover, execution procedures are also ordered as an NLP tool may have to perform tasks in a particular ordered sequence. This structuring is part of the ontology conceptualisation. It consists in defining property and sub-property relations and in associating a sequence number to each property that has to be lexicalised.

3.2 Ontology content aggregation and lexicalisation

Following the heuristics proposed in (Androutsopoulos et al., 2014) and (Cojocaru and Trâşan Matu, 2015) to obtain concise text, OWL property values are aggregated when they characterise the same object. For example, if an execution procedure (ep) has two values for operating system (ex : Linux and Mac) then the two values are merged as the following below:

$$\text{hasOS}(\text{ep},\text{Linux}) \land \text{hasOS}(\text{ep},\text{Mac}) \Rightarrow \text{hasOS}(\text{ep},\text{Linux and Mac})$$

The last step consists in property lexicalisation. While a number of approaches rely on ontology elements’ names and labels (often in English) to infer a lexicalisation (Bontcheva, 2005; SUN and MELLISH, 2006; Williams et al., 2011), in our approach, the lexicalisation of properties depend only on their translation functions. During the ontology verbalisation, each targeted language is processed one after the other. The TF of encountered properties for the current language is retrieved and used to lexicalise the property. Property values are considered as variables of the TFS. They are not translated as we ensure that they are as much as possible independent of the language. Figure 3 gives an example of two installation procedures for the NLP tool Jieba that processes Chinese. In this example, actions are lexicalised in English. Furthermore, the lexicalised command lines appear in between brackets.

As a result of this generation, all ReadMe files have the same structure, organisation and, as much as possible, level of detail, especially regarding installation and execution procedures.
which represent the key information for a tool usage. The resulted texts are simple which suits a ReadMe. However, it could be valuable to use more advanced NLG techniques as referring expression aggregation, linguistic realisation and structure realisation to produce more less simplified natural language texts.

**Procedure name:** wget - ubuntu

1- download jieba-0.38.zip via wget (wget https://pypi.python.org/packages/f6/86/9e721cc52075a07b7d07eb12bc5de771d35332a3dae1e14ae4290a197a/jieba-0.38.zip)
2- unzip jieba-0.38.zip (unzip jieba-0.38.zip)
3- go to the directory jieba-0.38 (cd jieba-0.38/)
4- type the command: python setup.py install

**Procedure name:** pip - ubuntu

1- type the command: sudo pip install jieba

Figure 3: Two installation procedures of the NLP tool Jieba lexicalised in English.

### 4 Conclusion

We proposed an ontology-based approach for generating simple, structured and organised ReadMe files in different languages. ReadMe structuring and lexicalisation is guided by the ontology properties and their associated translation functions for the targeted languages. The generated ReadMes are intended to be accessible via an on-line platform. This platform documents in several languages NLP tools processing different languages. In a near future, we plan to evaluate the complexity for end-users of different levels of expertise to install and execute NLP tools using our generated ReadMe files. We also hope that, as a side-product, the proposed conceptualisation may provide a starting point to establish guidelines and best practices that NLP tool documentation often lacks, especially for under-resourced languages.

### References


